(1)

CH Book

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 3379 **/2003** **Single Bench**

PETITIONER : G.S.Rekwar, S/o Late NandRam Raikwar, aged about 52 years, Occupation Asstt. Grade I Higher Secondary Board, Present Address- C-5, C.G. H.S. Board Premises, Pension bada Raipur (CG) Permanent Address- E.W.S. 201 New Subhas Nagar, Bhopal, (M.P.)

VERSUS

...TS

1. Union of India, through Secretary Deptt. Of Personnel & Training, Govt. of India New Delhi.
2. State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary Chhattisgarh Higher Secondary Board D.K.S. Bhavan Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. State of Madhya Pradesh, through Secetary M.P. Higher Secondary Board Vallabha Bhavan, Bhopal M.P.

Attested
Sd/-
A.R (J)

P. R. No. 2593/03
Presented by Shri P.C. Tiwari
dated 30.08.03

CERTIFIED COPY
30/8/03
BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

Sd/-
A.R (J)

Amendment as per court order dated 28.11.03

2. State of Chhattisgarh through Secondary Education Department D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur.

3. Secretary Chhattisgarh Higher Secondary Board D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur.

4. State of M.P. through Secretary School Education Vallabh Bhawan Bhopal M.P.

5. Secretary M.P. Higher Secondary Bhawan Bhopal M.P.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(53)

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3379 **सन् 2003**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

15.07.2005

Mrs. Hamida Siddiqui, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. R. Pradhan, learned standing counsel for Union of India / respondent No.1.

Mr. Pankaj Shrivastava, learned Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh / respondent No.2.

Mr. Pankaj Agrawal, learned counsel for respondent No.3.

Dr. N.K. Shukla, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Sunil Meshra, learned counsel for respondent No.5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner wants to make a representation for allocation of his services to State of Madhya Pradesh, before (a) the Secretary, Higher Secondary Board, Government of Chhattisgarh, D.K.S. Bhavan, Raipur, and (b) the Secretary, Higher Secondary Board, Government of Madhya Pradesh, Vallabh Bhavan, Bhopal. Learned counsel further prays that the authorities be directed to refer the matter to the Union of India for consideration.

In view of the above, the above stated authorities are directed to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner on its own merits in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation filed by the petitioner, if any.

In view of the foregoing, this petition is disposed of.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Sarma